## Case 1:18-cr-00601-PGG Document 771 Filed 05/31/23 Page 1 of 2

Case 1:18-cr-00601-PGG Document 769 Filed 05/26/23 Page 1 of 2

## LAW OFFICES

## DAVID WIKSTROM

250 WEST 55TH STREET, 17TH FLOOR NEW YORK, NY 10019

E-MAIL: DAVID@DAVIDWIKSTROM.COM WWW.DAVIDWIKSTROM.COM TELEPHONE: (212) 248-5511 FACSIMILE: (212) 248-2866

MEMO ENDORSED
May 26, 2023 The Opplication is demed. In
May 26, 2023 The Opplication is demid. In The Honorable Paul G. Gardephe United States District Judge An year a very cranterary Variance from the Gudlines 40 Centre Street New York, NY 10007
New York, NY 10007 Out senteneing, one was on the variance was the time Adamic
Re: United States v. Jibril Adamu, et al. Ital sport in custody in hoats. Naving
18 CR 601 (PGG) Granted Adamy a variance based in part on this factor.  Dear Judge Gardephe: The Court will not further credit Adamy for the time he spen
On behalf of Mr. Adamu I respectfully renew my request that the Court amend the
Judgment to recommend that he receive credit for a portion of the time he spent in Gustorly in Annie of the first of the commend that he receive credit for a portion of the time he spent in Gustorly in Annie of the commend that he receive credit for a portion of the time he spent in Gustorly in Annie of the commend that he receive credit for a portion of the time he spent in Gustorly in Annie of the commend that he receive credit for a portion of the time he spent in Gustorly in Annie of the commend that he receive credit for a portion of the time he spent in Gustorly in Annie of the commend that he receive credit for a portion of the time he spent in Gustorly in Annie of the commend that he can be a commend to the commend that he can be a commend to the commen
Croatia on the instant charges, specifically the time commencing with the Government of Many
commencement of its extradition proceeding against him on 01/14/2019. The Court decline to U.S.D.J.
make this recommendation at the time of sentence, indicating its belief that the time Mr. Adamu
spent in custody prior to his arrival in the United States on 10/17/2019 was on Croatian charges.
Those Croatian charges were ultimately dismissed.

Respectfully, I believe the Court erred in declining to make the requested recommendation. The time Mr. Adamu spent in custody between 1/14/2019 and 10/17/2019 was time "spent in official detention prior to the date the sentence commences (1) as a result of the offense for which the sentence was imposed...that has not been credited against another sentence" as defined by 18 U.S.C. §3585(b). Receipt of the credit under these circumstances is mandatory, not discretionary ("A defendant **shall** be given credit for time spent....").

The determination of whether a defendant receives credit against his sentence for time served is left to the Bureau of Prisons. The Supreme Court holds that "§ 3585(b) does not authorize a district court to compute the credit at sentencing." *United States v. Wilson*, 503 U.S. 329, 334 (1992). Rather, credit "is granted by the Attorney General through the Bureau of Prisons after a defendant

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> To the extent the Court has concerns that this renewed request is being made after the conclusion of the sentencing hearing, we respectfully note that the Court can make such "non-binding recommendations to the Bureau of Prisons at any time." *United States v. Ceballos*, 671 F.2d 852, 856 n.2 (9th Cir. 2011); see e.g., *United States v. Pealy*, 21 Cr. 469 (PKC) (S.D.N.Y. Apr. 29, 2022), Dkt. 31 (amending judgment to recommend that defendant be designated to a specific BOP facility); *United States v. St. Vallier*, 07 Cr. 613 (SDW), 2021 WL 689118, at \*6 (D.N.J. Feb. 23, 2021); *United States v. Paul*, 01 Cr. 636 (LDW) (E.D.N.Y. Oct. 23, 2009), Dkt. 251.

## Case 1:18-cr-00601-PGG Document 771 Filed 05/31/23 Page 2 of 2

Case 1:18-cr-00601-PGG Document 769 Filed 05/26/23 Page 2 of 2

is sentenced," though the defendant may, after exhausting his administrative remedies, obtain judicial review of the Bureau's determination. *United States v. Galicia-Delgado*, 130 F.3d 518, 522 (2d Cir. 1997). (See also, United States v. Montez-Gaviria, 163 F.3d 697, 700-01 (2d Cir. 1998) ("A district court cannot itself apply section 3585(b) when sentencing, because computing 'the credit must occur after the defendant begins his sentence.;" *United States v. Wilson*, 503 U.S. 329, 333 (1992); Werber v. United States, 149 F.3d 172, 179 (2d Cir.1998) ("After a defendant is sentenced, it falls to the BOP, not the district judge, to determine ... whether the defendant should receive credit for time spent in custody before the sentence commenced.")(citations and internal quotation marks and punctuation omitted).

Mr. Adamu therefore respectfully requests that the Court amend the Judgment to recommend that he receive credit, by indicating in the Imprisonment section of the Judgment as follows:

It is recommended that the defendant receive credit for the time served in Croatia while awaiting extradition, i.e., the period between January 14, 2019 and October 17, 2019, as that period constitutes time spent in official detention as a result of the offense for which the sentence was imposed that has not been credited against another sentence. The Court requests that it be notified if this recommendation is not followed by the Bureau of Prisons.

Yesterday I asked the Government for its consent to this application, but have not yet heard yet back from them on their position.

I thank the Court for its consideration and attention.

Sincerely,

AM Nely

David Wikstrom

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> A copy of the extradition request is attached.